

Confusion with labour market data...Objective is not only to create employment for the unemployed but to move the inactive into activity. This is the genuine mission of agencies and institutions involved in labour market policies.

We are changing the concept of pension into a support mechanism to create a level playing field, to overcome inherent difficulties created by social arrangements.

Financial incentives to employers? Another form of dependency or special treatment? Is that what people with disability want? Will they really be empowered in this way? Did the employers ask for such financial incentives?

Target population was identified on the basis of expert opinion of people in the field about the share of people with disability who can potentially be active in the labour market.

Specify disability? But why categorise people at this stage? If we are going to make the scheme close to the individual, why are we asking for categorization? Individual needs should be addressed in relation to work abilities... job coaching, better services, transportation are all catered for in the report.

Research cannot from a desk decide who is able to work or not able and at what conditions... this is an important decision to be reached within the scheme, not outside it.

About people with disability currently working... they have all rights just like any another to apply for the scheme.

Savings from day centres....addressed in report but data not sufficiently categorized. Infact this is identified as a source of funding of the scheme.

Lack of comprehensiveness is admitted... in certain cases, economic argument does not hold. That is where my work stops and the noble efforts of other specialists commences.